

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
01/10/2002	Eric M. DoBrava	1001.1482101	8439
590 03/09/2006		EXAM	INER
IARDSON P.C.		NGUYE	N, VI X
S, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,		3731	
	01/10/2002 590 03/09/2006 IARDSON P.C.	01/10/2002 Eric M. DoBrava 590 03/09/2006 IARDSON P.C.	01/10/2002 Eric M. DoBrava 1001.1482101 590 03/09/2006 EXAM HARDSON P.C. NGUYE S, MN 55440-1022 ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E
<del>,</del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Assistant Commencer	10/044,277	DOBRAVA ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Victor X. Nguyen	3731
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply		·
WHIO - External control contro	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAY INSIDE TO BE AND	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
status			
1)[🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 De	ecember 2005.	
. —	•	action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 and 23-25 is/are pending in the a	application.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-22 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7,13-18 and 23-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>8-12</u> is/are objected to.		
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
Applicat	tion Papers		
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
-,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17,2(a)).	
	application from the international bureau		

Attachment(s)

1)		Not	ice c	of	Ref	erences	Cit	ed	(P	TC	-89	2)
	$\Box$			_	_	_		_			_	

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413
	Paner No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) 🔲 Other:
-------------

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Acker et al (6,605,084).

Acker et al disclose, in figs. 18, 20, a catheter system that meets the limitations of claim 1, including: an elongate shaft (310), a radially extendible collection array (312) disposes about a portion of the elongate shaft and near the distal end of the elongate shaft, where the radially extendible collection array has one or more collection lumens (a bore within element 312 are considered as lumen) open to the blood vessel which is capable of receiving the core material at the periphery of the blood vessel, and remove the core material from the blood vessel, where maintain the core material out of the blood vessel. As to claims 3-7, where the collection array is radially extendible which includes a hydraulic mechanism which comprises a balloon (362). It is interpreted that the catheter is only a part of the recitation of intended use in the preamble of claim 1. Note: The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Acker et al reference which is capable of being used as claimed if

Application/Control Number: 10/044,277

Art Unit: 3731

one desires to do so. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Claims 1-7,13-18 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Peacock III, (6,234,995).

Peacock III discloses a medical device system that meets the limitations of claims 1-6. The device includes an elongate shaft (41), a radially extendible collection array (70, see col. 18, lines 64-67, col. 19, lines 1-14) comprises a plurality of lumens (see col.18, lines 20-37) which open to the blood vessel and capable of removing the core material from the blood vessel, and maintain the core material out of the blood vessel disposed about a portion of the elongate shaft that having outer circumferential walls (44) spaced away from inner circumferential walls (43) by radial spacing members, where the collection array is radially extendible and collapsible (see col. 18, lines 60-67), where the means for radially extendible and collapsible includes a hydraulic mechanism that comprises a balloon (71).

As to claim 7, Peacock III discloses in fig.3b, where the means for radially extending and/or radially collapsing the collection array includes a mechanical mechanism. As to claims 13-18, Peacock III discloses the distal end of the collection array includes a plurality of collection ports (46'), and the proximal end of the collection array includes a plurality of retrieval ports (68), where the retrieval ports are fluidly connectible to a suction means (250), and where at least one collection lumen provides fluid communication between at least one collection port and at least one retrieval port. As to claims 23-25, the device further has one or

Art Unit: 3731

more retrieval ports that corresponds to the one or more collection lumens (fig. 9), where at least one axially directed collection port is directed away from the proximal end of the elongate shaft (fig. 8c), and where at least one axially directed collection port is directed toward the proximal end of the elongate shaft (fig. 9). It is interpreted that the catheter is only a part of the recitation of intended use in the preamble of claim 1. Note: The introductory statement of intended use and all other functional statements have been carefully considered but are deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Peacock et al reference which is capable of being used as claimed if one desires to do so. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 8-12 which depend on claim 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

None of the prior art of record disclose or suggest where each collection lumen is defined at least in part by a first circumferential wall, a second circumferential wall, a first radial wall, and a second radial wall.

As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/044,277 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

## Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments filed 12/22/2005 have been considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Acker "the Acker structure has no collection array, can not receive core material from a blood vessel, and can not remove any core material from the blood vessel" (a functional limitation): It is noted that fig. 18 of Acker can be broadly defined element 312 an expandable or extendible collection array that is disposed about a portion of an elongate shaft (310). Thus, a reference needs not show the structure of the recitation in order to meet the claim language but rather the reference needs only be capable of being used with such structure. Accordingly, the above noted reference is still considered to read on the claimed limitations of the claims noted. Moreover, the same arguments applied to Acker can apply to Peacock III. Accordingly, the above noted reference is still considered to read on the claimed limitations of the claims noted.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/044,277 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

٠,٠,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4699. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anh Tuan Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

VN VN 3/6/2006 Julian W. Woo

JULIAN W. WOO
PRIMARY EXAMINER